# SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

MONICA FRACO, an individual;

"Additional Parties Attachment form is attached."

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

CLARINS USA, INC., a corporation; LES BOUTIQUES CLARINS, LTD., a corporation; LAURI MASHORE, an individual and DOES 1 through 100 SUM-100

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

CONFRINCE CORY
Superior Court of California
County of Los Anneles

JAN 0 82017

Sharri R. Carler, Expositiva Officar/Clark By: Judi Laru, Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfmelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee walver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warming from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away, if you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawheipcalifornia.org), the California Courte Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfnelp), or by contacting your local court or county ber association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any self-lement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. AVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dias, is contequed decidir en su contra sin escucher su versión. Les la información a continuectón.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen este citación y papetes legeles pare presentar una respuesta por escrito en este corte y hacer que se entregue una copia el demandante. Una caria o una llamede telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito liene que ester en formeto legal correcto el desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Ceritro de Ayuda ele las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioleca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede mús cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta e tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatemente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamer a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pager a un abogado, es posible que cumple con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programe de servicios (egales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrer estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services. (www.tawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniénciose en contacto con le corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

CASE NUMBER

RC 6 4 5 8 9 4

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of Los Angeles

111 North Hill Street

Los Angeles, CA 90012

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:

(El nombre, le dirección y el número de leléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Abrolat Law pc, 840 Apollo Street, Suite 300, El Segundo. CA 90245

DATE: (Feche)	JAN	0 6	2017	SHERRI'R CARTER	Clark, by (Secretario)	Name of the last o	, Deputy (Adjunto
(For proof o (Para proef	l Service Se de ent	of this	Summons,	use Proof of Service of Sur on use el formulario Proof o	nmons (form POS-0	110),	
ISEALI			1, [ 2, [	ICE TO THE PERSON SER  as an individual detend  as the person sued und	'VED: You are serv ant		
	*		3 <b>T</b>	on behalf of ( <i>specify);</i> nder: <u>S</u> CCP 416.10 (d		CCP 416.50 (mino	
	<u></u>		- <b> </b> * C				

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Councy of California SUM-100 [Rev. July 1; 2000]

SUMMONS

Page 1 of 1 Code of Civi Probedure 95 412.20, Ans WWW. bountalogue pov

					SUM-200(A
SHORT TITLE: Franco et al. v. Clarins USA, Inc., et al.				Case Number:	
		INSTRUCTION	S FOR USE		
→ This form may be us → If this attachment is a Attachment form is a	used, insert the	ment to any summons if spac following statement in the pla	e does not permit the l	isting of all parties on the summons:	on the summons. "Additional Parties
List additional parties	(Check only on	e box. Use a separate page	for each type of party.):	7	÷ .
Plaintiff [	] Defendant	Cross-Complainant	Cross-Defenda	ant	
MONICA FRACO individually and on	, an individu behalf of all	ial; AUDREY TILLEY employees similarly sit	an individual, MA uated,	RIA BUCIO,	an individual,

SUM-100

Document 1-1

# SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

MONICA FRACO, an individual;

"Additional Parties Attachment form is attached."

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

CLARINS USA, INC., a corporation; LES BOUTIQUES CLARINS, LTD., a corporation, LAURI MASHORE, an individual and DOES 1 through 100 FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

0 8 2017

Sherri R. Carlet, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Juel Lave, Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information

You have 3D CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Ordine Salf-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property

may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a numbrofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprolit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lewnelpositiomia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ge.gov/selfnelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. JAVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contre sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que la entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desee que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en le corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida el secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presente su respueste a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le

podrá quitar su sualdo, dinaro y bienas sin más advertencia. Hay otros requisitos legales. Es racomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, pueda llamar a un servicio de may otros requisitos regeres, as incomenciarie que name e un adogado immediatamente, si no conoce a un adogado, puede tramar a un servicios na abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratultos de un programa de servicios (egales sin fines de lucro. Puede ancontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Servicios, (www.lawhelposlifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucone.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por lay, la corte tiene deracho a rectamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuardo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el ceso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corre es): Superior Court of Los Angeles

CASE NUMBER: 645994

111 North Hill Street

Los Angeles, CA 90012

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Abrolat Law pc, 840 Apollo Street, Suite 300, El Segundo, CA 90245

DATE: (Fecna)	JAN	0	6	2017	Sherri R. Carter	Clerk, by (Secretario)		, Deputy (Adjunto)
(For proof	of service	of	this	summons,	use Proof of Service of Sui in use el formulario Proof c	nmons <i>(form POS-0</i> of Service of Summar	10).) 16. (POS-010)).	
(Fara prua	na ne en	u eg	a u:		CE TO THE PERSON SEF	(VED: You are serve		
lacari				1 2 =	as an Individual defend as the person sued und	ant. Ier the fictitious name	of (specify):	A.
	1			·   · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ram i mala menggala mila ang silam Salah		
				'   a. 🛚	🗖 on behalf of (specify):	Les Dou	tiques. Clari	ns, lit
į				l u	nder: 🔼 CCP 416,10 (i		CCP 416,60 (mir	
						defunct corporation) essociation or panne	CCP 416.70 (corrship) CCP 416.90 (aut	

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicis! Council of California SUM-100 (Rev. July 1, 2009)

SUMMONS

other (specify): by personal dislivery on (date):

*	i i		⇒ SUM-200(A)
SHORT TITLE:	nemen er men var er	CASENUI	deen:
_ Franco et al. v. Clari	ns USA, Inc., et al.		<u>.</u>
And the second s			
4	INSTRUCT	IONS FOR USE	*
→ This form may be used → If this attachment is us Attachment form is alto	l as an attachment to any summons if ed, insert the following statement in th ached."	space does not permit the listing o e plaintiff or defendant box on the	f all parties on the summons, summons: "Additional Parties
List additional parties (	Check only one box. Use a separate p	age for each type of party.):	, s
☑ Plaintiff □	Defendant Cross-Complain	ant Cross-Defendant	•
MONICA FRACO, individually and on b	an individual; AUDREY TILL pehalf of all employees similarly	EY an individual, MARIA situated,	BUCIO, an individual,

Page <u>2</u> of <u>2</u>

Page 1 of

Form Adopted for Mendelory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-200(A) [Rev. January 1, 2007]

ADDITIONAL PARTIES ATTACHMENT
Attachment to Summons

ATTORNETS AT LAN

ABROLAT LAW PC NANCY L. ABROLAT (SBN 149799)
SHAHANE A. MARTIROSYAN (SBN 295471)
840 Apollo Street, Suite 300
El Segundo, CA 90245 2 CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California 3 County of Los Angeles Telephone: (310) 615-0008 Facsimile: (310) 615-0009 4 JAN 0 62017 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 5 Sherri R. Carler, Executive Officer/Clerk Audrey Tilley, Monica Franco, Maria Bucio, By: Judi Lara, Deputy and on behalf of all employees/former employees 7 similarly situated 8 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 12 13 RC 6 45 9 94 14 Case No. MONICA FRACO, an individual; AUDREY TILLEY an individual, MARIA 15 HONORABLE JUDGE BUCIO, an individual, individually and on behalf of all employees similarly situated, 16 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, INJUCTIVE RELIEF AND 17 Plaintiff, RESTITUTION 18 FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME VS. COMPENSATION (CAL. LABOR CODE § 19 510, 1194); CLARINS USA, INC., a corporation; LES BOUTIQUES CLARINS, LTD., a 20 2. FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL AND corporation; LAURI MASHORE, an 21 REST PERIODS (CAL. LABOR CODE § individual and DOES 1 through 100, 226,7, 512); 22 Defendants. 3. FAILURE TO FURNISH TRUE AND 23 ACCURATE WAGE AND HOUR 24 STATEMENTS AND PAY ALL WAGES WITHIN PAY PERIOD EARNED (CAL. 25 LABOR CODE §§ 226, 226.3); 26 WAITING TIME PENALTIES (CAL. LABOR CODE §§ 201-203); 27 28

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

* 1	·				
ì	* -			*.	
2	×.	9	e e		
3		ē,			***
4	**				#
5				; 8 <sup>*</sup>	ė,
6	*	*			1⊌}.
7					
8		*			
9		e.	¥	į	<b>4</b> ;
0				140	*

- 5. FAILURE TO REIMBURSE EXPENDITURES (CAL. LABOR CODE § 2802)
- 6. CONVERSION (CAL. LABOR CODE § 3336);
- 7. FALSE PROMISE/INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION; AND
- UNFAIR COMPETITION (CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17200, et seq.)

# JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMES NOW, Plaintiffs Audrey Tilley, Monica Franco, Maria Bucio ("Plaintiffs") on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, state and allege their complaint against Defendants Clarins USA, Inc., Les Boutiques Clarins, LTD, Lauri Mashore and DOES 1 through 100 (collectively "Clarins" or "Defendants") as follows:

# CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

1. Plaintiffs bring this class action to remedy wage and hour and unfair competition violations by Defendants Clarins USA, Inc., Les Boutiques Clarins, LTD and DOES 1 through 100 who engaged in a pervasive and unlawful scheme to deprive their employees of the protections granted them by California wage and hour laws and regulations. Plaintiffs bring this action on their own behalf and on behalf of the following putative class of individuals (the "Class Members"):

# The Class:

Plaintiff Franco and all other persons who are and/or have been employed by Defendants, or any of them, as hourly sales and customer service representatives in the State of California at any time from the four years prior to the filing of this Action and continuing while this action is pending (the "Class

Period.

* .	
Members" and "Class Period"), including a subclasses of	Class
Members defined as follows:	
Subclass One:	
Plaintiff Franco and all other persons who are and/or	. 3
have been employed by Defendants, or any of them, as a	
salaried sales and customer service representative	
during the Class Period.	
Subclass Two:	\$
Plaintiffs and all other persons who are and/or have	
been employed by Defendants, or any of them, as a	
salaried trainers during the Class Period.	
Subclass Three:	>
Plaintiffs and all other persons whose employment with	į.
Defendants, or any of them, ended during the Class	

2. During the Class Period, Defendants engaged in a pattern and practice of numerous wage and hour violations against Plaintiffs and Class Members, such as, by way of example, Defendants failed and refused to pay overtime wages to Plaintiffs and Class Members at the proper overtime rate, refused to allow meal and rest periods or pay in lieu thereof, failed and refused to provide timely and accurate wage and hour statements to Plaintiffs and Class Members, failed to timely pay Plaintiffs and Class Members for all of the wages that they earned within the pay period so earned, failed and refused to maintain complete and accurate payroll records for Plaintiffs and Class Members, failed and refused to pay compensation due to Plaintiffs and Class Members in a timely manner upon termination or resignation, failed to reimburse proper business expenses, required Plaintiffs and Class Members to work off the clock, committed unfair business practices in an effort to increase profits and to gain an unfair business advantage at the expense of Plaintiffs and Class Members. The foregoing acts and other acts by Defendants violated the California Labor Code, its regulations, the applicable Industrial Wage Order, the DLSE manual and

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the DLSE's interpreting opinions (Wage and Hour). Said conduct also violated California's Unfair Business Practices Act, California Business & Professions Code sections 17200, et seq. and violated Plaintiffs' and Class Members' legal rights.

- Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sec. 382, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves 3. and all others similarly situated, seek class-wide relief for patterns and practices of unlawful conduct by Defendants. The persons fitting the definition of Class Members are so numerous that the joinder of all such persons is impracticable, and the disposition of their claims as a class will benefit the parties and the court.
- There is a well-defined commonality of interest in the questions of law and of fact involving and affecting Class Members in that all of these employees have been harmed by Defendants' failure to comply with California wage laws, failure to pay overtime wages and intentional misrepresentation and false promise, in violation of the Labor Code, applicable Industrial Welfare Commission ("TWC") Wage Orders and other applicable California state statutes and regulations.
- The claims of Plaintiffs herein alleged are typical of those claims which could be alleged by any of the remaining Class Members, and the relief sought is typical of the relief which would be sought by each of the Class Members in separate actions. All Class Members have been similarly harmed by being denied compensation in compliance with wage laws and overtime wages due to Defendants' corporate-wide policies and practices that affected Class Members similarly. Furthermore, Defendants benefited from the same type of unfair and/or wrongful acts as to each of the Class Members.
- Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interest of all of the Class Members, and there are no known conflicts of interest between the named class representatives and the remaining Class Members. Other former and current employees of Defendants are also available to serve as class representatives if needed.
- The prosecution of separate actions by individual Class Members would create a risk of inconsistent and/or varying adjudications with respect to the individual Class Members, establishing incompatible standards of conduct for Defendants, and resulting in the impairment of

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Class Members'	rights and	the disposition	of their	interest through	actions to v	which they were no
parties.	*		8			

The Class Members' claims involve predominately common issues in that all claims arise out Defendants' failure to pay Class Members compensation in compliance with wage laws, overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week and/or eight hours per day, failure to provide meal and rest breaks, failing to timely pay for all ages earned, failing to reimburse all business expenses and failing to provide accurate itemized paystubs. Furthermore, class action is superior to numerous individual actions as a means of adjudicating those claims.

## PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

- At all times mentioned herein, Plaintiffs resided in California, worked in Los Angeles, California and were non-exempt employees for Defendants.
- 10. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and other employees and/or former employees of Defendants who are similarly situated.
- 11, At all times mentioned herein, Defendants Clarins USA, Inc., and Les Boutiques Clarins, LTD are each corporations existing under the laws of the State of California and doing business in the State of California, including Los Angeles County.
- At all times mentioned herein, Lauri Mashore resided in Los Angeles County, California.

## DOE DEFENDANTS

- The full extent of the facts linking the fictitiously designated defendants with the 13. causes of action alleged herein are unknown to Plaintiffs at this time. In addition, the true names and capacities, whether individual, plural, corporate, partnership, associate, or otherwise are also unknown to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs, therefore, designate such defendants as DOES 1-100, inclusive, and sue them under those fictitious names.
  - To the extent such DOE defendants are corporate entities, Plaintiffs sue them in 14.

that capacity and such corporate entities are responsible for all acts of their employees, agents, representatives and principals as all alleged actions were done within the course and scope of their employment.

- 15. To the extent such DOE defendants are individuals: Plaintiffs sue them in that capacity and allege that they took the actions for the benefit of themselves.
- 16. Plaintiffs allege that each and every defendant designated as DOE was responsible for the events referred to therein and caused in some manner injuries to Plaintiffs as hereinafter alleged. Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint to state the manner in which each fictitious defendant is so responsible and will ask leave of Court to amend this Complaint to show their respective true names and capacities when ascertained.
- 17. At all times alleged herein, Defendants owned and operated retail insurance service businesses in California.

# GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 18. Clarins employ or employed Plaintiffs and Class Members as sales and customer service representatives for Clarins' retail sales of cosmetic merchandize and customer service related thereto. Plaintiffs and Class Members promoted, sold, and provided customer service for customers seeking to purchase Clarins' merchandize. Plaintiffs' and Class Members' duties also included demonstrating use of Clarins' cosmetics.
- 19. Clarins required Plaintiffs and Class Members to work at varying locations from day to day at the whim of their managers. As a result of these varying locations, Defendants required Plaintiffs and Class Members to drive extensive miles to the varying locations without compensation for all of the travel time or reimbursement of the mileage. Defendants required Plaintiffs and Class Members to work over 8 hours day, and on the sixth and seventh days and over forty hours a week without the proper enhanced overtime rate.
- 20. Defendants prohibited Plaintiffs and Class Members from taking meal breaks and rest breaks. Defendants regularly refused to pay Plaintiffs and Class Members for all hours worked.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

21. Due to the inac	curacies relating to the hours worked and overtime hours described
above, the pay statements Defe	ndants provided to Plaintiffs and Class Members were consistently
and regularly inaccurate as to fl	he number of hours, the correct hourly rate, and amounts owing to
Plaintiffs and Class Members.	Defendants improperly failed to made proper tax withholdings as
to the full amount earned by Pl	aintiffs and Class Members, thereby depriving them of such
matching contributions.	
matening contributions.	

- 22. Defendants also failed to timely and accurately pay Plaintiffs and Class Members for all wages earned during a given, specifically designated pay period. Similarly, Defendants did not pay to those Plaintiffs and Class Members, who are former employees, the unpaid wages arising from wage violations described above, at the time of termination, such that this failure constitutes yet another violation of wage and hour regulations.
- 23. Defendants had an explicit practice which prohibited the taking of meal and rest breaks at Defendants' work locations due to customers and other work demands.
- 24. During the Class Period, Defendants willfully failed to pay wages due in a timely fashion when Plaintiffs and/or Class Members were terminated from or quit their employment with Defendants. Defendants also failed to comply with Wage and Hour requirements to maintain time records accurately reporting total hours worked by Plaintiffs and Class Members.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

### Failure to Pay Overtime

(Labor Code Sections, including but not limited to, 203, 204, 215, 216, 226, 226.6, 510, 558,

1194, 1194.2, 1199; IWC Wage Order 7)

(All Plaintiffs against Defendants Clarins USA, Inc.,

Les Boutiques Clarins, Ltd., and Does 1-100)

- 25. Plaintiffs hereby repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained throughout, and incorporate same by reference with the same force and effect as though set forth in full at this point.
  - Defendants regularly required that Plaintiffs and Class Members work more than 8 26.

hours in a day and over 40 hours in a week and on the sixth and seventh day in a row without
paying them the proper enhanced overtime rate. Defendants' rule required that Plaintiffs and
Class Members only record 7.5 hours in a day, or on occasion, permitted them to record some of
their overtime. Defendants prohibited Plaintiffs and Class Members from recording most of the
overtime Defendants required them to work and for which they were not paid.

- 27. Defendants regularly refused to pay Plaintiffs and Class Members for all hours worked and required them to work of the clock.
- 28. Plaintiffs and Class Members are not exempt from these overtime compensation requirements. They have been deprived of their rightfully earned overtime compensation as a direct and proximate result of Defendants' failure and refusal to pay said compensation, and as such are entitled to recover such amounts, plus penalties, interest thereon, attorney's fees and costs.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Provide Meal and Rest Periods

(California Labor Code Sections, Without Limitation,

226.7, 512, IWC Wage Order 7)

(All Plaintiffs against Defendants Clarins USA, Inc., Les Boutiques Clarins, Ltd., and Does 1-100)

- 29. Plaintiffs hereby repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained throughout, and incorporate same by reference with the same force and effect as though set forth in full at this point.
- 30. Defendants routinely prohibited Plaintiffs and Class Members to take meal and rest periods during their work shifts and failed to compensate Plaintiffs and Class Members for said missed meal and rest periods, as required by California Labor Code sections, without limitation, 226.7, 512, and IWC Wage Order 7 and the other applicable sections of Labor Code and related statutes and regulations.
  - 31. Defendants had an explicit practice of prohibiting of meal and rest breaks at

Defendants' work locations. Indeed, Defendants affirmatively trained Plaintiffs and Class	
Members to forego meal and rest breaks regardless of how much time any employee had w	orked
on a given shift. Consequently, Defendants mandated that Plaintiffs and Class Members w	ork
continuously from the beginning through the end of their shift, especially due to costomer	or other
wok needs, thereby regularly denying Plaintiffs and Class Members meal and rest breaks.	On the
rare occasions that a Plaintiff or Class Member could take a meal break, it was outside the	first 5
hours of a shift mandated by the Labor Code and IWC Orders.	\$

- 32. In addition to implementing the policy prohibiting breaks, Defendants punished those Plaintiffs and Class Members who violated the policy by taking the breaks which they were entitled to under the Labor Code and IWC Orders.
- 33. Defendants maintained and re-affirmed the policy of prohibiting breaks despite complaints from Plaintiffs and/or Class Members concerning the unfairness and illegality of such a prohibition, as well as complaints about the irregular payroll practices described above.
- 34. Plaintiffs and the Class Members have been deprived of their rightfully earned compensation for meal and rest periods as a direct and proximate result of Defendants' failure and refusal to pay said compensation for these breaks which Defendants did not permit. Plaintiffs and the Class Members are entitled to recover such amounts pursuant to California Labor Code section 276.7(b), plus penalties, interest thereon, attorney's fees and costs.
- 35. In committing the foregoing acts, Defendants were guilty of oppression, fraud or malice, and, in addition to the actual damages caused thereby, Plaintiffs and Class Members are entitled to recover damages for the sake of example and by way of punishing Defendants.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Furnish Accurate, Itemized Wage and Hour Statements
and to Pay Wages within Pay Period Earned

(California Labor Code Section, Without Limitation, 226 et seq.)

(All Plaintiffs against Defendants Clarins USA, Inc.,
Les Boutiques Clarins, Ltd., and Does 1-100)

36.	Plaintiffs	hereby repe	at and	reallege (	each and	every alle	gation co	ntained i	n each
paragraph throu	ighout thi	s complaint,	and in	rcorporate	same by	reference	with the	same for	ce and
effect as though	ı set forth	in full at thi	s poin	t.				Ž.	

- 37. As a matter of corporate policy and practice, Defendants willfully failed to comply with Wage and Hour requirements to maintain time records accurately reporting total hours worked by Plaintiffs and Class Members.
- 38. Due to the inaccuracies relating to the overtime hours and meal and rest break violations described above, the pay statements Defendants provided to Plaintiffs and Class Members were consistently and regularly inaccurate as to the number of hours, the hourly rates and amounts owing to Plaintiffs and Class Members.
- 39. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs and Class Members with timely and accurate wage and hour itemized statements showing gross wages earned, total hours worked, all deductions made, net wages earned, the name and address of the legal entity employing Plaintiff, and all applicable hourly rates in effect during each pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by Plaintiffs and Class Members during that pay period.

  Defendants failed to provide the legally required accurate itemization for the compensation and failed to accurate withhold the legally mandated tax deductions and other payroll contributions, including federal income tax, state income tax, FICA contributions and Medicare contributions.
- 40. Based on Defendants' illegal conduct, Defendants are liable for damages and statutory penalties pursuant to California Labor Code, including without limitation, section 226 et seq., and other applicable provisions of the Labor Code and related statutes and regulations, as well as for their failure to take payroll deductions and to pay the employer matching payroll contributions to FICA and Medicare.

# FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Waiting Time Penalties

(Labor Code Sec. 203)

(All Plaintiffs against Defendants Clarins USA, Inc.,

ð

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# Les Boutiques Clarins, Ltd., and Does 1-100)

- 41. Plaintiffs hereby repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained throughout this complaint, and incorporate same by reference with the same force and effect as though set forth in full at this point.
- 42. Defendants did not pay to those Plaintiffs and Class Members, who are former employees, the unpaid wages arising from wage violations described above, herein or otherwise, at the time of termination, such that this failure constitutes yet another violation of wage and hour regulations.
- 43. As a matter of corporate policy and practice, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and other former employees constituting Class Members within the time required under law, upon their respective discharge, all monies owed to them under Wage and Hour requirements. Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiffs and the Class a sum certain within time frames mandated by law and have failed to pay those sums for thirty days thereafter. Defendants also failed to comply with Wage and Hour requirements to maintain time records accurately reporting total hours worked by Plaintiffs and Class Members.
- Pursuant to the provisions of Labor Code Sections, including but not limited to, section 203, Plaintiffs and the class are entitled to a penalty in the amount of Plaintiffs' and Class Members daily wage during the waiting time penalty period, interest, attorneys fees and costs set forth by statute.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

For Failure to Reimburse Plaintiffs and Class for Expenditures Made on Employer's Behalf (Labor Code Sections 2802)

(All Plaintiffs against Defendants Clarins USA, Inc.,

Les Boutiques Clarins, Ltd., and Does 1-100)

45. Plaintiffs hereby repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained throughout this complaint, and incorporate same by reference with the same force and effect as

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

			point.

- As a matter of regular business practice, Defendants regularly required Plaintiffs 46. and Class to use their own money to pay for company expenses, including but not limited to paying for gas to fill their own cars to run errands for the company, paying for various business equipment to use, such as automobiles, cell phones, notepads, laptops, printers, internet service, etc.
- 47. Defendants failed to indemnify Plaintiffs and Class, as their employees, for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by Plaintiffs in direct consequence of the discharge of their work related duties.
- As a proximate cause of Defendants' failure to indemnify or fully reimburse 48. Plaintiffs and Class, Plaintiffs and Class have been deprived of compensation for work related expenses arising from and in direct consequence of the discharge of their work duties and been damaged in an amount according to proof at the time of trial, Plaintiffs and Class are entitled to recover such amounts, plus interest thereon, attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Labor Code § 2802 et seq., plus statutory and civil penalties pursuant to the Labor Code and other applicable laws and regulations.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### Conversion - Theft of Labor

(California Civil Code Section, Without Limitation, 3294, 3336)

(All Plaintiffs against Defeudants Clarins USA, Inc.,

# Les Boutiques Clarins, Ltd., and Does 1-100)

- 49. Plaintiffs hereby repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained throughout this complaint, and incorporate same by reference with the same force and effect as though set forth in full at this point.
- 50. Defendants wrongfully withheld from Plaintiffs and Class Members and failed to pay to their earned wages and other compensation which was due to them for overtime work, for meal and rest breaks, and as otherwise required pursuant to the Labor Code and related statutes

б

1.5

and regulations.

- 51. Plaintiffs and Class Members are not exempt from the requirements of the Labor Code and related statutes and regulations.
- 52. At all relevant times herein, Defendants had and continued to have a legal obligation imposed by statute to pay to Plaintiffs and Class Members all overtime wages and other compensation due. Such wages and compensation belonged to Plaintiffs and Class Members at the time the labor and services were provided to Defendants, and accordingly such wages and compensation are the property of Plaintiff.
- perform non-exempt employee tasks. Defendants knowingly and intentionally failed to pay overtime wages for hours worked in excess of eight hours a day and forty hours a week or for working on the seventh consecutive day, failed to compensate for missed meal and rest breaks, and failed to provide other compensation due to Plaintiffs and Class Members pursuant to the Labor Code and related statutes and regulations. Defendants intentionally converted the wages and compensation of Plaintiffs and Class Members by withholding earned overtime wages, payments in lieu of missed meal and rest breaks and other compensation, which Plaintiffs and Class Members owned or had the right to own and had the legal right to hold, possess and dispose of, and then converted and utilized the same for Defendants' own use and benefit.
- 54. Defendants converted such wages and compensation as part of an intentional and deliberate scheme to maximize profits at the expense of Plaintiffs and Class Members.
- 55. Plaintiffs have been injured by Defendants' intentional conversion of such wages and compensation. Plaintiffs and Class Members are entitled to all monies converted by Defendants, with interest thereon as well as any and all profits, whether direct or indirect, which Defendants acquired by their unlawful conversion.
- 56. In committing the foregoing acts, Defendants were guilty of oppression, fraud or malice, and, in addition to the actual damages caused thereby, Plaintiffs and Class Members are entitled to recover damages for the sake of example and by way of punishing Defendants.

# SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## FALSE PROMISE/ INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION

## (Plaintiffs and Franco against All Defendants)

- 57. Plaintiffs hereby repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in all of the other paragraphs of this complaint, and incorporates same by reference with the same force and effect as though fully set forth in full at this point.
- Defendant Mashore told Plaintiff Franco that important facts were true, i.e., that Plaintiff Franco would be promoted to a new position that was properly a salaried position. Defendant Mashore further told Franco that she would no longer be required to work the extensive hours required prior to the promotion, and that the new position was career enhancing and a positive move toward future advancement in the company, even though Defendants knew at the time that these stated facts were not true. Mashore intended Plaintiff Franco to rely on these misrepresentations, and Plaintiff Franco reasonably relied by accepting the position and putting full efforts into said position which was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff Franco harm.
- 59. As a proximate result of the representations of Defendants' intentional misrepresentations, as aforesaid, Plaintiff Franco was harmed. Plaintiff Franco has suffered and continues to suffer substantial losses in earnings and other employment benefits. Plaintiff Franco has suffered and continues to suffer severe emotional distress, and other damages in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 60. Defendants committed these acts alleged herein maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff Franco and acted with an improper and evil motive amounting to malice and in conscious disregard of Plaintiff Franco's rights.

  Because the acts taken towards Plaintiffs Franco was carried out by her employer in a despicable, deliberate, cold, callous, and intentional manner in order to injure and damage Plaintiff Franco, Plaintiff Franco is entitled to recover punitive damages from Defendants, in an amount to be determined at trial.

# EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# Unfair Competition And Unfair Business Practices

(Business and Professions Code secs. 17000 et seg. and 17200 et seg.)

(All Plaintiffs against Defendants Clarins USA, Inc.,

Les Boutiques Clarins, Ltd., and Does 1-100)

- 61. Plaintiffs hereby repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in each paragraph of this complaint, and incorporate same by reference with the same force and effect as though set forth in full at this point.
- 62. Defendants, and each of them, are "persons" as defined under Business and Professions Code section 17021.
- 63. Defendants' failure to pay required wages for all hours worked and overtime rates of pay Plaintiffs and Class Members has resulted in Defendants not incurring the expense of paying said legally-required wages, under-reporting to federal and state authorities wages earned by Class Members and, therefore, under-paying state and federal taxes, employer matching funds, unemployment premiums, social security, medicare, and workers' compensation premiums, all this in an amount according to the proof.
- 64. Defendants' failure to maintain records of hours worked, failure to pay for all hours worked, including at overtime rates, is either unfair and/or an offense punishable by both statutory fine and imprisonment for each violation. Defendants' acts constitute a continuing and ongoing unfair and unlawful activity prohibited by Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq., and justify the issuance of an injunction, restitution and other equitable relief pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203 both as to the company and its managing agents and officers.
- As set forth below, Plaintiffs are informed and believe that by failing to pay the lawful minimum wage and overtime wages to Plaintiffs and Class Members as well as failing to pay payroll taxes with matching amounts for Plaintiffs and Class Members, Defendants, while engaged in business within the State of California, acted in violation of Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq. and to the detriment of Defendants' competitors by enjoying the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

financial rewards of illegally underpaying their workforce.

- 66. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendants have instructed and directed its directors, officers, employees, and/or agents to intentionally and unlawfully avoid payment of wages for all hours worked and overtime wages and corresponding employment taxes in violation of Business and Professions Code section 17047. Plaintiffs seeks to enforce important rights affecting the public interest within the meaning of California Civil Procedure Code § 1021.5.
- 67. Defendants' activities as alleged here are violations of California law and constitute unlawful business acts and practices in violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. Pursuant to California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq., Plaintiffs and all Class Members are entitled to restitution of the wages withheld and retained by Defendants during a period that commences four years prior to the filling of this Complaint, a permanent injunction requiring Defendants to pay all outstanding wages due to Class Members, an award of attorneys' fees under applicable law and costs of suit.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public, hereby pray for relief and judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. For compensatory damages in an amount according to proof;
- 2. For statutory compensation, interest and penalties;
- 3. For an order requiring Defendants, and each of them, to show cause, if any they have, as to any reasons that they should not be enjoined as set forth below, during and after the pendency of this Action;
- 4. That each Defendant, joint and/or severally, pay restitution and/or disgorgement of sums to Plaintiffs and each Class Member for its past failure to pay, during the Class Period, withholding taxes, making deposits, matching funds, social security, Medicare, in an amount according to proof;

1.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

- 5. That each Defendant, joint and/or severally, pay restitution and/or disgorgement of sums to Plaintiffs and each Class Member for its past failure to pay, during the Class Period, all wages due and owing in an amount according to proof;
  - 6. For reasonable attorney's fees and costs;
- 7. For all punitive damages, penalties, fines, liquidated damages or any other amounts due as a result of Defendants' Wage and Hour violations;
  - 8. For all general damages according to proof;
  - 9. For all special and/or consequential damages according to proof,
- 10. For a preliminary injunction and a permanent injunction prohibiting Defendants from requiring Plaintiffs and Class Members to work in excess of an eight hour workday and/or forty hour workweek and/or on the sixth and seventh consecutive days without lawful compensation being paid to Plaintiffs and Class Members, prohibiting Defendants from unlawfully withholding the wages earned by Plaintiffs and Class Members, requiring that Defendants properly reimburse Plaintiffs and Class Members for all business expenses, and requiring that Defendants afford meal and rest periods as required by California law.
  - For such other relief and further relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

Dated: December 28, 2016 18

ABROLAT LAW PC

Shanane A. Martirosyan Attorneys for Plaintiffs'

23

22

24

25

26

27 28